# Texas Education Agency 2016-17 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools Campus Name: KRAUSE EL Campus ID: 239901103 District Name: BRENHAM ISD

#### Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2016-17 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

		State	District	Campus	African American	Hispanio		Americar Indian		Pacific Islander		Special Ed		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent at	t or Ab	ove Ap	proach	es Grade	Level (20	)17) or Lo	evel II S	atisfacto	ry Stan	dard (20′	16)						
Grade 3 Reading	2017	72%	72%	68%	39%	65%	80%	-	-	-	*	*	55%	53%	71%	65%	-
-	2016	72%	74%	76%	62%	70%	86%	-	*	*	-	55%	65%	59%	83%	71%	-
Mathematics	2017 2016		81% 77%	77% 81%	57% 67%	67% 79%	94% 89%	-	- *	- *	* -	*	62% 73%	60% 79%	76% 79%	78% 83%	-
Grade 4	00.47	000/	070/		700/	000/	0.4.9/			*		L.	000/		700/	350/	
Reading	2017 2016		67% 76%	76% 87%	79% 76%	60% 87%	91% 89%	-	*	-	- *	* 76%	68% 85%	50% 90%	79% 85%	75% 88%	-
Mathematics	2017 2016		77% 78%	85% 85%	79% 82%	76% 80%	96% 89%	-	*	* -	- *	46% 76%	77% 80%	73% 81%	79% 80%	89% 89%	-
Writing	2017 2016	64% 68%	58% 62%	64% 72%	71% 65%	45% 71%	78% 75%	-	*	* -	- *	* 71%	51% 67%	38% 71%	70% 71%	60% 73%	-
All Grades	00.47	740/	700/		05%	000/	000/		L.	*	*	0.50/	000/		750/	- 40/	
All Subjects	2017 2016		73% 71%	74% 80%	65% 70%	63% 77%	88% 86%	-	100%	*	89%	35% 66%	63% 74%	55% 76%	75% 80%	74% 80%	-
Reading	2017 2016		68% 67%	72% 81%	60% 68%	63% 77%	85% 87%	-	*	*	*	33% 68%	62% 74%	52% 75%	74% 84%	70% 78%	-
Mathematics		78% 75%	79% 76%	81% 83%	68% 74%	72% 79%	95% 89%	-	*	*	*	48% 61%	70% 76%	66% 80%	78% 80%	84% 86%	-
Writing	2017 2016		63% 66%	64% 72%	71% 65%	45% 71%	78% 75%	-	*	*	- *	* 71%	51% 67%	38% 71%	70% 71%	60% 73%	:
STAAR Percent at	Meets	Grade	e Level	(2017) or	Final Lev	el II Stan	dard (2	2016)									
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2017 2016		42% 39%	42% 44%	29% 35%	30% 33%	58% 56%	-	* 100%	*	* 22%	27% 55%	29% 32%	20% 31%	43% 45%	42% 44%	-
Reading	2017 2016		41% 37%	41% 42%	23% 34%	33% 34%	55% 51%	-	*	*	*	24% 54%	30% 31%	21% 28%	44% 44%	39% 41%	- -
Mathematics	2017 2016		45% 39%	52% 47%	36% 32%	37% 35%	72% 61%	-	* *	*	*	38% 46%	34% 34%	25% 35%	46% 43%	57% 50%	-
Writing	2017 2016		30% 38%	25% 43%	25% 47%	13% 27%	35% 55%	-	*	* -	- *	* 71%	14% 32%	8% 29%	32% 51%	20% 36%	-
STAAR Percent at	Maste	rs Gra	ide Leve	el (2017) d	or Level II	I Advanc	ced (201	16)									
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2017 2016		17% 15%	21% 24%	6% 19%	13% 11%	34% 36%	-	* 80%	*	* 11%	7% 26%	10% 14%	6% 13%	22% 25%	20% 23%	-
Reading	2017 2016		16% 14%	24% 24%	6% 21%	19% 13%	34% 33%	-	*	*	*	5% 25%	15% 15%	9% 15%	26% 27%	22% 22%	-
Mathematics	2017 2016		20% 16%	25% 26%	9% 16%	13% 10%	44% 43%	-	*	*	*	10% 25%	9% 12%	5% 12%	23% 24%	27% 27%	-

```
https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2=15&_debug=0&single=N&title=2016-17+Federal+Report+Card&_p... 1/6
```

		State	District	Campus	African Americar	n Hispanic		American Indian		Pacific		Special		ELL	Female	Male I	Vigrant
Writing	2017 2016			5% 20%	0% 24%	0% 11%	11% 27%	-	*	*	- *	* 29%	0% 15%	0% 13%	9% 24%	2% 18%	-
	2010	14 /0	14 /0	20 /0	2470	1170	21/0	-		-		2370	1070	10 /0	2470	10 /0	-

#### **STAAR Participation (All Grades)**

All Tests	2017 2016	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	* 100%	*	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-
Reading	2017 2016	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	*	*	*	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	- -
Mathematics	2017 2016	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-	*	*	*	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-
Writing	2017 2016	100% 99%	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%	98% 100%	100% 100%	-	*	* -	- *	100% 100%	99% 100%	96% 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	-

#### STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

Reading Tests																	
% of Participants	2017	98%	96%	95%	100%	100%	86%	-	-	-	-	95%	100%	*	88%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2017	13%	7%	9%	0%	0%	29%	-	-	-	-	9%	0%	*	13%	7%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2017	73%	56%	45%	50%	86%	14%	-	-	-	-	45%	75%	*	25%	57%	-
% STAAR Alternate 2	2017	12%	33%	41%	50%	14%	43%	-	-	-	-	41%	25%	*	50%	36%	-
% of Non-Participants	2017	2%	4%	5%	0%	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	5%	0%	*	13%	0%	-
Mathematics Tests																	
% of Participants	2017	99%	98%	95%	100%	100%	86%	-	-	-	-	95%	100%	*	88%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC With No																	
Accommodations	2017	12%	5%	5%	0%	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	5%	0%	*	13%	0%	-
% STAAR/EOC With																	
Accommodations	2017	74%	59%	50%	50%	86%	29%	-	-	-	-	50%	75%	*	25%	64%	-
% STAAR Alternate 2	2017	13%	35%	41%	50%	14%	43%	-	-	-	-	41%	25%	*	50%	36%	-
	2017	1370	0070		0070	11/0	10/0					4170	2070		0070	0070	
% of Non-Participants	2017	1%	2%	5%	0%	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	5%	0%	*	13%	0%	-

'\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable or were reported outside a reasonable range.

### Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2016-17 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander		Econ		ELL (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State															
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Y	Ν	Y	Y					Y		Ν	n/a	4	6	67
Mathematics	Y	Y	Y	Y					Y		Y	n/a	6	6	100
Writing	Y		Ν	Y					Ν		Ν	n/a	2	5	40
Science												n/a	0	0	
Social Studies												n/a	0	0	
Total													12	17	71
Performance Status - Federal															
Federal Target	91%	91%	91%	91%	,				91%	91%	91%				
Reading	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
Mathematics	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		Ν	n/a			

**Participation Status** 

Target Reading Mathematics <b>Total</b>	All Students 95% Y Y	African sAmerican 95% Y Y	Hispanic 95% Y Y	White 95% Y Y	American Indian 95%		Econ	ELL I (Current 8 Monitored n/a n/a		Total Eligible 6 6 12	
Federal Graduation Status (T Graduation Target Met Reason Code *** Total	arget: See	Reason Co	des)					n/a	0	0 <b>0</b>	
District: Met Federal Limits o Reading Alternate 1% Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit Mathematics Alternate 1% Number Proficient Total Federal Cap Limit Total	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	ive Assessn	nents						Ū	Ū	
Overall Total									24	29	83

+ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) \*\*\* Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goalb = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88.5%d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 91%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading		~-	~ /			*				_	~-	
# at Approaches Grade Level	187	25	64	92	-	*	*	*	87	7	27	n/a
Standard								*				
Total Tests	257	44	100	107	-	*	*	*	139	21	50	50
% at Approaches Grade Level Standard	73%	57%	64%	86%	-	*	*	*	63%	33%	54%	n/a
Mathematics												
# at Approaches Grade Level	213	29	76	102	-	*	*	*	100	10	37	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	257	44	100	107	-	*	*	*	139	21	50	50
% at Approaches Grade	83%	66%	76%	95%	-	*	*	*	72%	48%	74%	n/a
Level Standard												
Writing	00	10	05	40		*	*		00	*	40	
# at Approaches Grade Level	86	16	25	43	-	~	~	-	38	~	10	n/a
Standard	405	00		~~		*	*		75	*	26	00
Total Tests	135 64%	23 70%	55 45%	55 78%	-	*	*	-	75 51%	*	26 38%	26 n/a
% at Approaches Grade Level Standard	64%	70%	45%	/8%	-			-	51%		38%	n/a
Science												
# at Approaches Grade Level	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Standard												n/a
Total Tests	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
% at Approaches Grade	-	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	n/a
Level Standard												n/d
Social Studies												
# at Approaches Grade Level	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Approaches Grade	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Level Standard												
Participation Rates Reading: 2016-2017 Assessments												
Number Participating	, 271	47	109	109	-	*	*	*	149	22	n/a	58
Total Students	271	47	109	109	_	*	*	*	149	22	n/a	58
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	*	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2016-2017 Assessm												
Number Participating	269	47	107	109	-	*	*	*	149	22	n/a	56
Total Students	269	47	107	109	-	*	*	*	149	22	n/a	56
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	*	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?\_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2=15&\_debug=0&single=N&title=2016-17+Federal+Report+Card&\_p... 3/6

						Two or			ELL	
All	African		American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
Students	American Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)

\* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

\*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduati	on Rate (Gr	9-12): Class	of 2016									
Number Graduated	- `	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduati	on Rate (Gr	9-12): Class	of 2015									
Number Graduated	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rate (G	r 9-12): Clas	s of 2015										
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

#### **District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments**

Reauling	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a
Mathematics	
Number Proficient	n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a

\* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

\*\* When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2017 Accountability System Safeguards Report

#### Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading and mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on Improvement Required status and reading/mathematics performance in the selected student groups. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the next lowest achieving campuses based on Improvement Required status and reading/mathematics performance in the selected student groups.

Priority School Identification:	Priority School Reason: N/A
No	Focus School Reason: N/A
Focus School Identification: No	1

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and mathematics performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards.

#### High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

#### Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

### Part IV A: Percentage of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percentage of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and

### doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	2.0%	1.2%
Bachelors	37.5	80.1%	82.1%	74.5%
Masters	9.3	19.9%	15.7%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%

### Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and lowpoverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

### Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Teachers		
	Elem	secondary	
	(PK-6)	(7-12)	
Emergency	1	0	
Non-renewable	0	0	
District Teaching	0	0	

# Source: TEA Division of Educator Preparation and Program Accountability

# Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (TX IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percentage of total graduates during the 2013-14 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2014-15 academic year.

### **Report Not Required**

# Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

# Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

# State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	1
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

# State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

<b>Grade</b> Grade 4	Subject Reading	<b>Student Group</b> Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment